





Official Ballot for 2022 General Election
Montrose County, Colorado
Tuesday, November 8, 2022

Tressa Guynes
Tressa Guynes
Clerk and Recorder


Precinct: 3065843001
Ballot Type: 2



To vote for a named candidate, completely fill in the oval to the left of your choice. Use blue or black ink.



To vote for an eligible write-in candidate, completely fill in the oval to the left of the write-in line, and print the name of the candidate on the line. If a race does not contain a write-in line, you cannot vote for a write-in candidate.



To make a correction in a race with more than one candidate, draw a bold line through the oval and candidate name marked by mistake, then fill in the oval next to the correct name. If you voted for the only candidate in a race and want to withdraw that vote, you must request a replacement ballot.

WARNING: Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.

Federal Offices	State Offices	Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)
United States Senator (Vote for One)	State Treasurer (Vote for One)	Shall Judge Jaclyn Casey Brown of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
☐ Michael Bennet Democratic	☐ Dave Young Democratic	☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ Joe O'Dea Republican	☐ Lang Sias Republican	Shall Judge Terry Fox of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
☐ T.J. Cole Unity	☐ Anthony J. Delgado Libertarian	☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ Brian Peotter Libertarian	Attorney General (Vote for One)	Shall Judge Christina Finzel Gomez of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
☐ Frank Atwood (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 2 terms)	☐ John Kellner Republican	☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ Write-in:	☐ Phil Weiser Democratic	Shall Judge Matthew D. Grove of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
Representative to the 118th United States Congress - District 3 (Vote for One)	☐ William F. Robinson III Libertarian	☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ Adam Frisch Democratic	☐ Write-in:	Shall Judge Matthew D. Grove of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
☐ Lauren Boebert Republican	State Board of Education Member - At Large (Vote for One)	☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ Write-in:	☐ Kathy Plomer Democratic	Shall Judge Sueanna P. Johnson of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
State Offices	☐ Dan Maloit Republican	☐ YES ☐ NO
Governor/Lieutenant Governor (Vote for One Pair)	☐ Ryan Van Gundy Libertarian	Shall Judge Lino S. Lipinsky de Orlov of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
☐ Heidi Ganahl / Danny Moore Republican	☐ Eric Bodenstab Unity	☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera Democratic	State Representative - District 58 (Vote for One)	Shall Judge Neeti V. Pawar of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
☐ Paul Noël Fiorino / Cynthia Munhos de Aquino Sirianni Unity	☐ Kevin Stanley Kuns Democratic	☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ Danielle Neuschwanger / Darryl Gibbs American Constitution	☐ Marc Catlin Republican	Shall Judge David H. Yun of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
☐ Kevin Ruskusky / Michele Poague Libertarian	County Offices	☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ Write-in:	County Commissioner - District 2 (Vote for One)	Shall Judge David H. Yun of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
Secretary of State (Vote for One)	☐ Sue Hansen Republican	☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ Pam Anderson Republican	County Clerk and Recorder (Vote for One)	Ballot Measures
☐ Jena Griswold Democratic	☐ Tressa Guynes Republican	Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.
☐ Gary Swing Unity	County Treasurer (Vote for One)	State Ballot Measures
☐ Jan Kok Approval Voting	☐ Rosemary Murphy Republican	Amendment D (CONSTITUTIONAL)
☐ Amanda Campbell American Constitution	County Assessor (Vote for One)	Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning judges of the newly created twenty-third judicial district, and, in connection therewith, directing the governor to designate judges from the eighteenth judicial district to serve the remainder of their terms in the twenty-third judicial district and requiring a judge so designated to establish residency within the twenty-third judicial district?
☐ Bennett Rutledge Libertarian	☐ Brad Hughes Republican	☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST
	County Sheriff (Vote for One)	Amendment E (CONSTITUTIONAL)
	☐ Gene R. Lillard Republican	Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning the extension of the property tax exemption for qualifying seniors and disabled veterans to the surviving spouse of a United States armed forces service member who died in the line of duty or veteran whose death resulted from a service-related injury or disease?
	County Surveyor (Vote for One)	☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST
	☐ Write-in:	
	County Coroner (Vote for One)	
	☐ Richard "Rick" Fellabaum Republican	

State Ballot Measures	State Ballot Measures
Amendment F (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning the conduct of charitable gaming activities, and, in connection therewith, allowing managers and operators to be paid and repealing the required period of a charitable organization's continuous existence before obtaining a charitable gaming license? ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST	Proposition 124 (STATUTORY) Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning increasing the number of retail liquor store licenses in which a person may hold an interest, and, in connection therewith, phasing in the increase by allowing up to 8 licenses by December 31, 2026, up to 13 licenses by December 31, 2031, up to 20 licenses by December 31, 2036, and an unlimited number of licenses on or after January 1, 2037? ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST
Proposition FF (STATUTORY) SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$100,727,820 ANNUALLY BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES THAT, TO SUPPORT HEALTHY MEALS FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL STUDENTS, INCREASES STATE TAXABLE INCOME ONLY FOR INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE FEDERAL TAXABLE INCOME OF \$300,000 OR MORE BY LIMITING ITEMIZED OR STANDARD STATE INCOME TAX DEDUCTIONS TO \$12,000 FOR SINGLE TAX RETURN FILERS AND \$16,000 FOR JOINT TAX RETURN FILERS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING THE HEALTHY SCHOOL MEALS FOR ALL PROGRAM TO PROVIDE FREE SCHOOL MEALS TO STUDENTS IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS; PROVIDING GRANTS FOR PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS TO PURCHASE COLORADO GROWN, RAISED, OR PROCESSED PRODUCTS, TO INCREASE WAGES OR PROVIDE STIPENDS FOR EMPLOYEES WHO PREPARE AND SERVE SCHOOL MEALS, AND TO CREATE PARENT AND STUDENT ADVISORY COMMITTEES TO PROVIDE ADVICE TO ENSURE SCHOOL MEALS ARE HEALTHY AND APPEALING TO ALL STUDENTS; AND CREATING A PROGRAM TO ASSIST IN PROMOTING COLORADO FOOD PRODUCTS AND PREPARING SCHOOL MEALS USING BASIC NUTRITIOUS INGREDIENTS WITH MINIMAL RELIANCE ON PROCESSED PRODUCTS? ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST	Proposition 125 (STATUTORY) Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning the expansion of retail sale of alcohol beverages, and, in connection therewith, establishing a new fermented malt beverage and wine retailer license for off-site consumption to allow grocery stores, convenience stores, and other business establishments licensed to sell fermented malt beverages, such as beer, for off-site consumption to also sell wine; automatically converting such a fermented malt beverage retailer license to the new license; and allowing fermented malt beverage and wine retailer licensees to conduct tastings if approved by the local licensing authority? ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST
Proposition GG (STATUTORY) Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes requiring that the ballot title and fiscal summary for any ballot initiative that increases or decreases state income tax rates include a table showing the average tax change for tax filers in different income categories? ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST	Proposition 126 (STATUTORY) Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning authorization for the third-party delivery of alcohol beverages, and, in connection therewith, allowing retail establishments licensed to sell alcohol beverages for on-site or off-site consumption to deliver all types of alcohol beverages to a person twenty-one years of age or older through a third-party delivery service that obtains a delivery service permit; prohibiting the delivery of alcohol beverages to a person who is under 21 years of age, is intoxicated, or fails to provide proof of identification; removing the limit on the percentage of gross sales revenues a licensee may receive from alcohol beverage deliveries; and allowing a technology services company, without obtaining a third-party delivery service permit, to provide software or a digital network application that connects consumers and licensed retailers for the delivery of alcohol beverages? ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST
Special District Ballot Measures	
Proposition 121 (STATUTORY) Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes reducing the state income tax rate from 4.55% to 4.40%? ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST	Olathe Rural Fire Protection District Ballot Issue 6A SHALL THE OLATHE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$313,822.00 COMMENCING IN 2023 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR), AND BY SUCH AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF UP TO 4.5 MILLS, RESULTING IN A TOTAL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAX RATE NOT TO EXCEED 12.016 MILLS, EXCLUSIVE OF ANY MILL LEVY IMPOSED FOR DEBT SERVICE (AND SUBJECT TO ADJUSTMENT TO OFFSET REVENUE LOSSES FROM REFUNDS, ABATEMENTS AND LEGISLATIVE OR CONSTITUTIONAL CHANGES TO THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL VALUATION USED TO DETERMINE ASSESSED VALUATION) TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S OPERATING, CAPITAL AND OTHER EXPENSES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: • EXPENSES RELATED TO PROVIDING AMBULANCE RESCUE, FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES, • THE ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY AND CAPITAL EQUIPMENT, THE CONSTRUCTION OF FACILITIES; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND THE REVENUE THEREFROM WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR ANY OTHER LAW? ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST
Proposition 122 (STATUTORY) Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning legal regulated access to natural medicine for persons 21 years of age or older, and, in connection therewith, defining natural medicine as certain plants or fungi that affect a person's mental health and are controlled substances under state law; establishing a natural medicine regulated access program for supervised care, and requiring the department of regulatory agencies to implement the program and comprehensively regulate natural medicine to protect public health and safety; creating an advisory board to advise the department as to the implementation of the program; granting a local government limited authority to regulate the time, place, and manner of providing natural medicine services; allowing limited personal possession, use, and uncompensated sharing of natural medicine; providing specified protections under state law, including criminal and civil immunity, for authorized providers and users of natural medicine; and, in limited circumstances, allowing the retroactive removal and reduction of criminal penalties related to the possession, use, and sale of natural medicine? ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST	
Proposition 123 (STATUTORY) Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning statewide funding for additional affordable housing, and, in connection therewith, dedicating state revenues collected from an existing tax of one-tenth of one percent on federal taxable income of every individual, estate, trust, and corporation, as defined in law, for affordable housing and exempting the dedicated revenues from the constitutional limitation on state fiscal year spending; allocating 60% of the dedicated revenues to affordable housing financing programs that will reduce rents, purchase land for affordable housing development, and build assets for renters; allocating 40% of the dedicated revenues to programs that support affordable home ownership, serve persons experiencing homelessness, and support local planning capacity; requiring local governments that seek additional affordable housing funding to expedite development approvals for affordable housing projects and commit to increasing the number of affordable housing units by 3% annually; and specifying that the dedicated revenues shall not supplant existing appropriations for affordable housing programs? ☐ YES/FOR ☐ NO/AGAINST	

