

General
Statement and Certificate of Determination of an Election held in Montrose County, Colorado, on Tuesday the 4th day of November 20 08

HART INTERCIVIC

NAMES OF CANDIDATES OR PROPOSITIONS		OFFICE VOTED FOR	NUMBERS OF WARDS AND PRECINCTS AND VOTES CAST IN EACH																												Total No. of Votes Cast		
			AY. PCT.																														
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28		PROV.	
Referendum L An amendment to section 4 of article V of the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning the ability of an elector of the state of Colorado who has attained the age of twenty-one years to serve as a member of the Colorado general assembly.		Referendum L																															
☐ YES	YES		247	281	375	375	192	318	232	96	328	37	32	263	252	205	0	86	257	250	213	334	244	284	301	280	290	256	253	267	97	6,645	
☐ NO	NO		430	501	483	459	366	437	315	187	516	59	73	474	458	271	0	144	465	440	439	525	541	457	509	430	548	407	366	537	91	10,934	
Referendum M Shall section 7 of article XVIII of the state constitution concerning outdated, obsolete provisions regarding land value increase be repealed?		Referendum M																															
☐ YES	YES		345	381	429	407	212	422	262	93	425	38	48	297	281	254	0	105	360	338	270	428	354	390	416	375	409	382	268	306	83	8,378	
☐ NO	NO		313	378	403	401	330	316	271	179	385	52	51	424	404	207	0	118	337	322	357	400	405	316	355	319	393	253	331	481	102	8,603	
Referendum N Shall there be a repeal of section 5 of article XVIII and article XXII of the state constitution, concerning the elimination of outdated obsolete provisions of the state constitution?		Referendum N																															
☐ YES	YES		380	447	451	474	225	444	306	103	456	43	49	349	329	306	0	124	412	411	335	457	385	417	468	448	519	408	330	339	89	9,504	
☐ NO	NO		283	318	388	350	324	294	229	171	359	48	55	377	366	164	0	107	294	255	305	390	382	300	315	254	301	233	276	448	98	7,684	
Referendum O Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning ballot initiatives, and, in connection therewith, increasing the number of signatures required for a proposed initiative to amend the state constitution; reducing the number of signatures required for a proposed statutory initiative; requiring a minimum number of signatures for a proposed initiative to amend the state constitution to be gathered from residents of each congressional district in the state; increasing the time allowed to gather signatures for a proposed statutory initiative; modifying the review of initiative petitions; establishing a filing deadline for proposed initiatives to amend the state constitution; and requiring a two-thirds vote of all members elected to each house of the general assembly to amend, repeal, or supersede any law enacted by an initiative for a period of five years after the law becomes effective?		Referendum O																															
☐ YES	YES		261	346	328	341	203	355	202	89	316	39	44	289	263	224	0	97	274	312	258	315	278	317	341	306	349	285	246	313	81	7,072	
☐ NO	NO		407	415	524	485	355	377	339	199	505	51	59	443	442	244	0	136	425	367	394	537	487	404	454	394	469	364	363	488	109	10,236	

STATE OF COLORADO,

ss.

We, the undersigned, Canvassers of the Election Returns of and Election held in said _____, in the State of Colorado,

County of _____ on _____ the _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____, for the election of _____

do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said _____

WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____

Attest:

Clerk.

Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

Mayor - Municipal Judge

PHARMACEUTICALS

Question 2A
THE CITY OF MONTROSE TAXES BE
INCREASED \$291,000.00 BEGINNING IN
2009 WHICH WILL BE THE FIRST FULL
FISCAL YEAR OF THE INCREASE, AND
ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY WHATEVER
ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED
THEREAFTER FROM THE LEVY OF AN
ADDITIONAL SALES TAX OF 1/2 % UPON
THE RETAIL COST OF SHORT TERM
HOUSING RENTALS, AN ADDITIONAL
SALES TAX OF 1/2 % UPON THE RETAIL
COST OF SALE OF FOOD SERVED OR
FURNISHED IN RESTAURANTS, AND A NEW
SALES TAX OF 1/2% UPON THE RETAIL
COST OF PROVISION OF PRIVATE GROUND
TRANSPORTATION SERVICES BY
CARRIERS AND OTHER PROVIDERS
THEREOF AUTHORIZED TO ENGAGE IN
SUCH BUSINESS AT THE MONTROSE
COUNTY AIRPORT, SUCH TAX
COLLECTIONS TO COMMENCE ON
JANUARY 1, 2009 AND TO BE COLLECTED
AND RETAINED AND SPENT EXCLUSIVELY
FOR THE AIRLINE GUARANTEE PROGRAM;
AND SHALL THE CITY OF MONTROSE BE
PERMITTED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND
EXPEND ALL REVENUES DERIVED FROM
SUCH SALES TAX AS A VOTER APPROVED
REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO
THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE
APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF
THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY
OTHER LAW?

FOR	8	135	210	194	94	152			146		176		79	11	131	201	212	76	113	10	21
AGAINST	45	644	644	579	375	589			343		562		415	29	301	592	522	173	229	45	70

STATE OF COLORADO, }
County of _____ } ss.
We, the undersigned, Canvassers of the Election Returns of and Election held in said _____, in the State of Colorado,
(City or Town of)
on _____ the _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____, for the election of _____
hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said _____
WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____

Clerk.

Deputy.

Mayor - Municipal Judge

Statement and Certificate of Determination of an Election

HART INTERCIVIC-

NAMES OF CANDIDATES
OR PROPOSITIONS

OFFICE VOTED FOR

Question 2A

SHALL CITY OF MONTROSE TAXES BE INCREASED \$291,000.00 BEGINNING IN 2009 WHICH WILL BE THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR OF THE INCREASE, AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER FROM THE LEVY OF AN ADDITIONAL SALES TAX OF 1/2 % UPON THE RETAIL COST OF SHORT TERM LODGING RENTALS, AN ADDITIONAL SALES TAX OF 1/2 % UPON THE RETAIL COST OF SALE OF FOOD SERVED OR FURNISHED IN RESTAURANTS, AND A NEW SALES TAX OF 1/2% UPON THE RETAIL COST OF PROVISION OF PRIVATE GROUND TRANSPORTATION SERVICES BY CARRIERS AND OTHER PROVIDERS THEREOF AUTHORIZED TO ENGAGE IN SUCH BUSINESS AT THE MONTROSE COUNTY AIRPORT. SUCH TAX COLLECTIONS TO COMMENCE ON JANUARY 1, 2009 AND TO BE COLLECTED AND RETAINED AND SPENT EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE AIRLINE GUARANTEE PROGRAM; AND SHALL THE CITY OF MONTROSE BE PERMITTED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND EXPEND ALL REVENUES DERIVED FROM SUCH SALES TAX AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

☐

For the Measure

☐

Against the Measure

FOR

AGAINST

SHALL DELTA COUNTY JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT SO DEBT BE INCREASED \$49,900,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$111,000,000, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$5,600,000 ANNUALLY, FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAKING NECESSARY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS TO EXTEND THE USEFUL LIFE OF SCHOOL FACILITIES, AND TO ENHANCE STUDENT SAFETY, LEARNING AND WELFARE. BY:

[illegible]STATE OF COLORADO.

(City or Town of)

Mayor • Municipal Judge

Statement and Certificate of Determination of an Election held in Montrose County, Colorado, on Tuesday the 4th day of November 2008

HART INTERACTIVIC

NAMES OF CANDIDATES
OR PROPOSITIONS

OFFICE VOTED FOR

NUMBERS OF WARDS AND PRECINCTS AND VOTES CAST IN EACH

Total No.
of
Votes Cast

Question 4A

SHALL CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 TAXES BE INCREASED \$12,368.00 ANNUALLY, FROM AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF 1.0 MILLS UPON TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, FOR A TOTAL MILL LEVY OF 4.655 MILLS, COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2008, WITH SUBSEQUENT YEAR MILL LEVIES TO BE DETERMINED ANNUALLY BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS BUT NOT TO EXCEED 4.655 MILLS (UNLESS CHANGED BY FUTURE VOTER APPROVAL) AND SHALL THE CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND THE FULL REVENUES FROM SUCH MILL LEVY AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., OR ANY OTHER LEGAL LIMITATION?

☐ YES
☐ NO

Instruction Text

A YES VOTE WOULD APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF TERM LIMITS FOR DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT. A NO VOTE WOULD LEAVE THE TERM LIMIT AS IT PRESENTLY IS.

Question 4B

SHALL THE TERMS FOR THE ELECTED BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 BE UNLIMITED, DESPITE THE TERM LIMITATION SET FORTH IN THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

☐ YES
☐ NO

Question 4A

YES

NO

55

55

0

0

55

55

Question 4B

YES

NO

45

62

0

0

45

62

STATE OF COLORADO,

ss.

We, the undersigned, Canvassers of the Election Returns of and Election held in said _____, in the State of Colorado

(City or Town of)

on _____ the _____ day of _____, A. D. 20____, for the election of _____

County of _____

do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said _____

WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20____

Attest:

Clerk.

Mayor - Municipal Judge

Deputy.

By _____

NAMES OF CANDIDATES OR PROPOSITIONS

OFFICE VOTED FOR

1 2 3 4

Question 4A

SHALL CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 TAXES BE INCREASED \$12,368.00 ANNUALLY, FROM AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF 1.0 MILLS UPON TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, FOR A TOTAL MILL LEVY OF 4.655 MILLS, COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2008, WITH SUBSEQUENT YEAR MILL LEVIES TO BE DETERMINED ANNUALLY BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS BUT NOT TO EXCEED 4.655 MILLS (UNLESS CHANGED BY FUTURE VOTER APPROVAL) AND SHALL THE CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND THE FULL REVENUES FROM SUCH MILL LEVY AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., OR ANY OTHER LEGAL LIMITATION?

☐ YES

☐ NO

Instruction Text

A YES VOTE WOULD APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF TERM LIMITS FOR DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT. A NO VOTE WOULD LEAVE THE TERM LIMIT AS IT PRESENTLY IS.

Question 4B

SHALL THE TERMS FOR THE ELECTED BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 BE UNLIMITED, DESPITE THE TERM LIMITATION SET FORTH IN THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

☐ YES

☐ NO

Question 4A

YES

NO

Question

4B

YES

NO