

General
Statement and Certificate of Determination of an Election held in Montrose County, Colorado, on Tuesday the 4th day of November 2008

HART INTERCIVIC

NAMES OF CANDIDATES OR PROPOSITIONS		OFFICE VOTED FOR	NUMBERS OF WARDS AND PRECINCTS AND VOTES CAST IN EACH																												Total No. of Votes Cast		
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	PCT.		
Amendment 47 Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning participation in a labor organization as a condition of employment, and, in connection therewith, prohibiting an employer from requiring that a person be a member and pay any moneys to a labor organization or to any other third party in lieu of payment to a labor organization and creating a misdemeanor criminal penalty for a person who violates the provisions of the section?																																	
		AMENDMENT																															
		47																															
☐ YES		YES	314	380	369	368	187	372	243	104	374	47	47	332	310	237	0	93	365	347	302	376	381	382	436	367	423	334	249	367	75		8181
☐ NO		NO	385	435	543	496	380	416	317	192	512	56	66	428	413	269	0	149	388	368	381	519	425	381	421	380	447	346	380	467	120		10,080
Amendment 48 Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution defining the term "person" to include any human being from the moment of fertilization as "person" is used in those provisions of the Colorado constitution relating to inalienable rights, equality of justice, and due process of law?																																	
		AMENDMENT																															
		48																															
☐ YES		YES	229	265	281	302	164	245	194	85	299	35	41	273	259	179	0	63	293	250	244	336	286	290	328	240	312	240	232	335	82		6,382
☐ NO		NO	463	554	626	567	401	544	365	200	576	64	73	487	466	323	0	172	453	463	440	558	515	481	533	507	549	443	396	500	113		11,832
Amendment 49 Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning deductions from governmental payroll systems, and, in connection therewith, prohibiting a governmental payroll system from taking a payroll deduction from any government employee except deductions required by federal law, tax withholdings, judicial liens and garnishments, deductions for individual or group health benefits or other insurance, deductions for pension or retirement plans or systems, or other savings or investment programs, and charitable deductions?																																	
		AMENDMENT																															
		49																															
☐ YES		YES	252	298	324	334	183	307	219	84	359	89	87	281	257	197	0	82	320	289	242	309	321	310	379	331	344	309	217	305	78		7,007
☐ NO		NO	435	511	575	521	384	461	334	206	499	61	73	468	459	291	0	151	419	410	425	576	472	441	462	408	503	372	402	515	116		10,950

STATE OF COLORADO, } ss. We, the undersigned, Canvassers of the Election Returns of and Election held in said _____, in the State of Colorado,
County of _____ on _____ the _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____, for the election of _____
do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said _____
WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____
Attest: _____ Clerk.
By _____ Deputy.

Mayor - Municipal Judge

Statement and Certificate of Determination of an Election held in General Montrose County, Colorado, on Tuesday the 4th day of November 2008

HART INTER-CIVIC

NAMES OF CANDIDATES OR PROPOSITIONS	OFFICE VOTED FOR	NUMBERS OF WARDS AND PRECINCTS AND VOTES CAST IN EACH																												Total No. of Votes Cast		
		A.V. PCT.																														
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28		PROV	
Amendment 50 SHALL THERE BE AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION CONCERNING VOTER-APPROVED REVISIONS TO LIMITED GAMING, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, ALLOWING THE LOCAL VOTERS IN CENTRAL CITY, BLACK HAWK, AND CRIPPLE CREEK TO EXTEND CASINO HOURS OF OPERATION, APPROVED GAMES TO INCLUDE ROULETTE AND CRAPS OR BOTH, AND MAXIMUM SINGLE BETS UP TO \$100; ADJUSTING DISTRIBUTIONS TO CURRENT GAMING FUND RECIPIENTS FOR GROWTH IN GAMING TAX REVENUE DUE TO VOTER-APPROVED REVISIONS IN GAMING, DISTRIBUTING 78% OF THE REMAINING GAMING TAX REVENUE FROM THIS AMENDMENT FOR STUDENT FINANCIAL AID AND CLASSROOM INSTRUCTION AT COMMUNITY COLLEGES ACCORDING TO THE PROPORTION OF THEIR RESPECTIVE STUDENT ENROLLMENTS, AND 22% FOR LOCAL GAMING IMPACTS IN GILPIN AND TELLER COUNTIES AND THE CITIES OF CENTRAL CITY, BLACK HAWK, AND CRIPPLE CREEK ACCORDING TO THE PROPORTION OF INCREASED TAX REVENUE FROM VOTER-APPROVED REVISIONS IN EACH CITY OR COUNTY, AND REQUIRING ANY INCREASE IN GAMING TAXES FROM THE LEVELS IMPOSED AS OF JULY 1, 2008 TO BE APPROVED AT A STATEWIDE ELECTION, IF LOCAL VOTERS IN ONE OR MORE CITIES HAVE APPROVED ANY REVISION TO LIMITED GAMING?																																
	AMENDMENT																															
	50																															
	YES	371	395	500	462	280	411	323	139	465	49	50	380	360	280	0	127	397	355	327	501	427	385	467	372	417	373	309	444	109	9,475	
	NO	323	404	396	409	287	369	243	144	402	50	61	379	356	219	0	109	344	351	341	390	366	368	386	363	436	307	308	381	86	8,578	
Amendment 51 SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$186.1 MILLION ANNUALLY AFTER FULL IMPLEMENTATION BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING AN INCREASE IN THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR LONG-TERM SERVICES FOR PERSONS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, INCREASING THE RATE OF THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX BEGINNING ON JULY 1, 2009, BY ONE-TENTH OF ONE PERCENT IN EACH OF THE NEXT TWO FISCAL YEARS; PERMITTING THE STATE TO RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM THE NEW TAX, NOTWITHSTANDING THE STATE SPENDING LIMIT; REQUIRING AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO THE NET REVENUE FROM THE NEW TAX TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE NEWLY CREATED DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES LONG-TERM SERVICES CASH FUND; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO PROVIDE LONG-TERM SERVICES FOR PERSONS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES; AND PROHIBITING REDUCTIONS IN THE LEVEL OF STATE APPROPRIATIONS IN THE ANNUAL GENERAL APPROPRIATION BILL EXISTING ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS MEASURE FOR LONG-TERM SERVICES FOR PERSONS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES?																																
	AMENDMENT																															
	51																															
	YES	160	208	251	229	88	176	153	50	228	15	25	151	152	141	0	43	179	165	150	225	167	198	215	213	192	194	140	134	45	4,290	
	NO	535	609	654	637	483	604	410	240	652	88	86	608	570	354	0	194	562	552	531	675	637	572	647	529	667	487	487	700	154	13,924	

STATE OF COLORADO, ss. We, the undersigned, Canvassers of the Election Returns of and Election held in said _____, in the State of Colorado, County of _____ on _____ the _____ day of _____, A. D. 20____, for the election of _____ do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said _____

WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20____

Attest: _____ Clerk.

By _____ Deputy.

Mayor - Municipal Judge

General
Statement and Certificate of Determination of an Election held in Montrose County, Colorado, on Tuesday the 4th day of November 2008

HART INTERCIVIC

Amendment 52 Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning the allocation of revenues from the state severance tax imposed on minerals and mineral fuels other than oil shale that are extracted in the state, and, in connection therewith, for fiscal years commencing on or after July 1, 2008, requiring half of the revenues to be credited to the local government severance tax fund and the remaining revenues to be credited first to the severance tax trust fund until an annually calculated limit is reached and then to a new Colorado transportation trust fund, which may be used only to fund the construction, maintenance, and supervision of public highways in the state, giving first priority to reducing congestion on the Interstate 70 corridor?	OFFICE VOTED FOR	NUMBERS OF WARDS AND PRECINCTS AND VOTES CAST IN EACH																												AV. PCT.	Total No. of Votes Cast
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	PREV	
AMENDMENT 52																															
	YES	231	241	304	257	147	283	191	76	292	34	33	204	214	186	0	65	241	221	181	302	237	246	310	249	258	239	209	183	73	5,727
	NO	457	558	580	535	417	482	360	207	560	68	77	546	507	297	0	172	491	474	485	578	555	489	524	474	597	438	411	633	122	12,144
Amendment 53 Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes extending the criminal liability of a business entity to its executive officials for the entity's failure to perform a specific duty imposed by law, and, in connection therewith, conditioning an executive official's liability upon his or her knowledge of the duty imposed by law and of the business entity's failure to perform such duty; and allowing an executive official who discloses to the attorney general all facts known to the official concerning a business's criminal conduct to use that disclosure as an affirmative defense to criminal charges?																															
	YES																														
	NO																														
Amendment 54 Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning restrictions on campaign contributions, and, in connection therewith, prohibiting the holder of contracts totaling \$100,000 or more, as indexed for inflation, awarded by state or local governments without competitive bidding ("sole source government contracts"), including certain collective bargaining agreements, from making a contribution for the benefit of a political party or candidate for elective office during the term of the contracts and for 2 years thereafter; disqualifying a person who makes a contribution in a ballot issue election from entering into a sole source government contract related to the ballot issue, and imposing liability and penalties on contract holders, certain of their owners, officers and directors, and government officials for violations of the amendment?																															
	YES	366	435	463	433	223	400	301	120	453	43	55	360	371	242	0	115	407	399	363	457	423	393	479	387	470	385	322	411	106	9,382
	NO	915	361	404	406	333	359	250	168	389	57	53	382	337	239	0	116	321	296	301	414	365	350	356	329	381	277	296	397	86	8,338

STATE OF COLORADO, } ss. We, the undersigned, Canvassers of the Election Returns of and Election held in said _____, in the State of Colorado,
County of _____ on _____ the _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____, for the election of _____
do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said _____
WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____
Attest: _____ Clerk.
By _____ Deputy.

Mayor - Municipal Judge

Amendment 55 Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning cause for employee discharge or suspension, and, in connection therewith, requiring an employer to establish and document just cause for the discharge or suspension of a full-time employee; defining "just cause" to mean specified types of employee misconduct and substandard job performance, the filing of bankruptcy by the employer, or documented economic circumstances that directly and adversely affect the employer; exempting from the just cause requirement business entities that employ fewer than twenty employees, nonprofit organizations that employ fewer than one thousand employees, governmental entities, and employees who are covered by a collective bargaining agreement that requires just cause for discharge or suspension; allowing an employee who believes he or she was discharged or suspended without just cause to file a civil action in state district court; allowing a court that finds an employee's discharge or suspension to be in violation of this amendment to award reinstatement in the employee's former job, back wages, damages, or any combination thereof; and allowing the court to award attorneys fees to the prevailing party?	OFFICE VOTED FOR	NUMBERS OF WARDS AND PRECINCTS AND VOTES CAST IN EACH																												Total No. of Votes Cast
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	precinct
☐ YES ☐ NO	AMENDMENT 55																													
	YES NO																													
☐ YES ☐ NO	AMENDMENT 56 Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution concerning health care coverage for employees, and, in connection therewith, requiring employers that regularly employ twenty or more employees to provide major medical health care coverage to their employees; excluding the state and its political subdivisions from the definition of "employer"; allowing an employer to provide such health care coverage either directly through a carrier, company, or organization or acting as a self-insurer, or indirectly by paying premiums to a health insurance authority to be created pursuant to this measure that will contract with health insurance carriers, companies, and organizations to provide coverage to employees; providing that employees shall not be required to pay more than twenty percent of the premium for such coverage for themselves and more than thirty percent of such coverage for the employees' dependents; financing the costs of administering the health insurance authority and health care coverage provided through the authority with premiums paid by employers to the authority and, if necessary, such revenue sources other than the state general fund as determined by the general assembly; directing the general assembly to enact such laws as are necessary to implement the measure; and setting the effective date of the measure to be no later than November 1, 2009?																													
	YES NO																													

STATE OF COLORADO, } ss. We, the undersigned, Canvassers of the Election Returns of and Election held in said _____, in the State of Colorado, County of _____ on _____ the _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____, for the election of _____ do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said _____

WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____.
Attest: _____ Clerk.
By _____ Deputy.

Mayor - Municipal Judge

HART INTERCIVIC.

NAMES OF CANDIDATES
OR PROPOSITIONS

OFFICE VOTED FOR

NUMBERS OF WARDS AND PRECINCTS AND VOTES CAST IN EACH

Total No.
of
Votes Cast

Amendment 57

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a safe workplace for employees, and, in connection therewith, requiring employers to provide safe and healthy workplaces for their employees; restricting such requirement to employers regularly employing ten or more employees in the state; and enabling employees who are injured because of an employer's violation of this requirement to file suit in district court, with the right to a jury trial, to recover compensatory and exemplary damages, actual past or future pecuniary losses, and noneconomic losses including pain and suffering, emotional distress, inconvenience, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life, but prohibiting injured employees from recovering any damages for which the employee already received compensation pursuant to the "Workers' Compensation Act of Colorado?"

AMENDMENT 57

YES

NO

Removed from the ballot (after printing)

No votes were recorded

Amendment 58

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$321.4 MILLION ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING THE SEVERANCE TAX ON OIL AND GAS EXTRACTED IN THE STATE, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, FOR TAXABLE YEARS COMMENCING ON OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 2009, CHANGING THE TAX TO 5% OF TOTAL GROSS INCOME FROM THE SALE OF OIL AND GAS EXTRACTED IN THE STATE WHEN THE AMOUNT OF ANNUAL GROSS INCOME IS AT LEAST \$300,000; ELIMINATING A CREDIT AGAINST THE SEVERANCE TAX FOR PROPERTY TAXES PAID BY OIL AND GAS PRODUCERS AND INTEREST OWNERS; REDUCING THE LEVEL OF PRODUCTION THAT QUALIFIES WELLS FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM THE TAX; EXEMPTING REVENUES FROM THE TAX AND RELATED INVESTMENT INCOME FROM STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPENDING LIMITS, AND REQUIRING THE TAX REVENUES TO BE CREDITED AS FOLLOWS: (A) 22% TO THE SEVERANCE TAX TRUST FUND, (B) 22% TO THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT SEVERANCE TAX FUND, AND (C) 56% TO A NEW SEVERANCE TAX STABILIZATION TRUST FUND, OF WHICH 60% IS USED TO FUND SCHOLARSHIPS FOR COLORADO RESIDENTS ATTENDING STATE COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES, 15% TO FUND THE PRESERVATION OF NATIVE WILDLIFE HABITAT, 10% TO FUND RENEWABLE ENERGY AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS, 10% TO FUND TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS IN COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES IMPACTED BY THE SEVERANCE OF OIL AND GAS, AND 5% TO FUND COMMUNITY DRINKING WATER AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT GRANTS?

AMENDMENT

58

YES

NO

4,968

13,208

\$5.

We, the undersigned, Canvassers of the Election Returns of and Election held in said _____, in the State of Colorado,

on _____ the _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____, for the election of _____

do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said

WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____

Attest:

Clerk.

Deputy.

Clerk.

Mayor - Municipal Judges

HART INTERCIVIC-

General

Montrose County

..., Colorado, on

Tuesday

the

4th

day of November

20 08

[illegible]

General
Statement and Certificate of Determination of an Election held in Montrose County, Colorado, on Tuesday the 4th day of November 20 08

HART INTERCIVIC

NAMES OF CANDIDATES OR PROPOSITIONS		OFFICE VOTED FOR	NUMBERS OF WARDS AND PRECINCTS AND VOTES CAST IN EACH																												Total No. of Votes Cast		
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28		PROV	
Referendum L An amendment to section 4 of article V of the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning the ability of an elector of the state of Colorado who has attained the age of twenty-one years to serve as a member of the Colorado general assembly.		Referendum L																															
☐ YES	YES		247	281	375	375	192	318	232	96	328	37	32	263	252	205	0	86	257	250	213	334	244	284	301	280	290	256	253	267	97	6,645	
☐ NO	NO		430	501	483	459	366	437	315	187	516	59	73	474	458	271	0	144	465	440	439	525	541	457	509	430	548	407	366	537	91	10,934	
Referendum M Shall section 7 of article XVIII of the state constitution concerning outdated, obsolete provisions regarding land value increase be repealed?		Referendum M																															
☐ YES	YES		345	381	429	407	212	422	262	93	425	38	48	297	281	254	0	105	360	338	270	428	354	390	416	375	409	382	268	306	83	8,378	
☐ NO	NO		313	378	403	401	330	316	271	179	385	52	51	424	404	207	0	118	337	322	357	400	405	316	355	319	393	253	331	481	102	8,603	
Referendum N Shall there be a repeal of section 5 of article XVIII and article XXII of the state constitution, concerning the elimination of outdated obsolete provisions of the state constitution?		Referendum N																															
☐ YES	YES		380	447	451	474	225	444	306	103	456	43	49	349	329	306	0	124	412	411	335	457	385	417	468	448	519	408	330	339	89	9,504	
☐ NO	NO		283	318	388	350	324	294	229	171	359	48	55	377	366	164	0	107	294	255	305	390	382	300	315	254	301	233	276	448	98	7,684	
Referendum O Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning ballot initiatives, and, in connection therewith, increasing the number of signatures required for a proposed initiative to amend the state constitution; reducing the number of signatures required for a proposed statutory initiative; requiring a minimum number of signatures for a proposed initiative to amend the state constitution to be gathered from residents of each congressional district in the state; increasing the time allowed to gather signatures for a proposed statutory initiative; modifying the review of initiative petitions; establishing a filing deadline for proposed initiatives to amend the state constitution; and requiring a two-thirds vote of all members elected to each house of the general assembly to amend, repeal, or supersede any law enacted by an initiative for a period of five years after the law becomes effective?		Referendum O																															
☐ YES	YES		261	346	328	341	203	355	202	89	316	39	44	289	263	224	0	97	274	312	258	315	278	317	341	306	349	285	246	313	81	7,072	
☐ NO	NO		407	415	524	485	355	377	339	199	505	51	59	443	442	244	0	136	425	367	394	537	487	404	454	394	469	364	363	488	109	10,236	

STATE OF COLORADO,

ss.

We, the undersigned, Canvassers of the Election Returns of and Election held in said _____, in the State of Colorado,

County of _____ on _____ the _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____, for the election of _____

do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said _____

WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____

Attest:

Clerk.

Deputy.

Clerk.

Mayor - Municipal Judge

PHARMACEUTICALS

Question 2A
THE CITY OF MONTROSE TAXES BE
INCREASED \$291,000.00 BEGINNING IN
2009 WHICH WILL BE THE FIRST FULL
FISCAL YEAR OF THE INCREASE, AND
ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY WHATEVER
ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED
THEREAFTER FROM THE LEVY OF AN
ADDITIONAL SALES TAX OF 1/2 % UPON
THE RETAIL COST OF SHORT TERM
HOUSING RENTALS, AN ADDITIONAL
SALES TAX OF 1/2 % UPON THE RETAIL
COST OF SALE OF FOOD SERVED OR
FURNISHED IN RESTAURANTS, AND A NEW
SALES TAX OF 1/2% UPON THE RETAIL
COST OF PROVISION OF PRIVATE GROUND
TRANSPORTATION SERVICES BY
CARRIERS AND OTHER PROVIDERS
THEREOF AUTHORIZED TO ENGAGE IN
SUCH BUSINESS AT THE MONTROSE
COUNTY AIRPORT, SUCH TAX
COLLECTIONS TO COMMENCE ON
JANUARY 1, 2009 AND TO BE COLLECTED
AND RETAINED AND SPENT EXCLUSIVELY
FOR THE AIRLINE GUARANTEE PROGRAM;
AND SHALL THE CITY OF MONTROSE BE
PERMITTED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND
EXPEND ALL REVENUES DERIVED FROM
SUCH SALES TAX AS A VOTER APPROVED
REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO
THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE
APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF
THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY
OTHER LAW?

FOR	8	135	210	194	94	152			146		176		79	11	131	201	212	76	113	10	21
AGAINST	45	644	644	579	375	589			343		562		415	29	301	592	522	173	229	45	70

55.

(City or Town of)

on _____ the _____ day of _____, 19____, for the election of _____

do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said _____

WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20_____

Clerk.

Mayor - Municipal Judge

Gen Statement and Certificate of Determination of an Elec

HART INTERCIVIC-

NAMES OF CANDIDATES
OR PROPOSITIONS

OFFICE VOTED FOR

Question 2A

SHALL CITY OF MONTROSE TAXES BE INCREASED \$291,000.00 BEGINNING IN 2009 WHICH WILL BE THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR OF THE INCREASE, AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER FROM THE LEVY OF AN ADDITIONAL SALES TAX OF 1/2 % UPON THE RETAIL COST OF SHORT TERM LODGING RENTALS, AN ADDITIONAL SALES TAX OF 1/2 % UPON THE RETAIL COST OF SALE OF FOOD SERVED OR FURNISHED IN RESTAURANTS, AND A NEW SALES TAX OF 1/2% UPON THE RETAIL COST OF PROVISION OF PRIVATE GROUND TRANSPORTATION SERVICES BY CARRIERS AND OTHER PROVIDERS THEREOF AUTHORIZED TO ENGAGE IN SUCH BUSINESS AT THE MONTROSE COUNTY AIRPORT. SUCH TAX COLLECTIONS TO COMMENCE ON JANUARY 1, 2009 AND TO BE COLLECTED AND RETAINED AND SPENT EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE AIRLINE GUARANTEE PROGRAM; AND SHALL THE CITY OF MONTROSE BE PERMITTED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND EXPEND ALL REVENUES DERIVED FROM SUCH SALES TAX AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

☐

For the Measure

☐

Against the Measure

FOR

AGAINST

SHALL DELTA COUNTY JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT SO DEBT BE INCREASED \$49,900,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$111,000,000, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$5,600,000 ANNUALLY, FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAKING NECESSARY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS TO EXTEND THE USEFUL LIFE OF SCHOOL FACILITIES, AND TO ENHANCE STUDENT SAFETY, LEARNING AND WELFARE. BY:

[illegible]STATE OF COLORADO.

(City or Town of)

Clerk.

Mayor • Municipal Judge

By

Statement and Certificate of Determination of an Election held in Montrose County, Colorado, on Tuesday the 4th day of November 2008

HART INTERACTIVIC

NAMES OF CANDIDATES
OR PROPOSITIONS

OFFICE VOTED FOR

NUMBERS OF WARDS AND PRECINCTS AND VOTES CAST IN EACH

Total No.
of
Votes Cast

Question 4A

SHALL CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 TAXES BE INCREASED \$12,368.00 ANNUALLY, FROM AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF 1.0 MILLS UPON TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, FOR A TOTAL MILL LEVY OF 4.655 MILLS, COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2008, WITH SUBSEQUENT YEAR MILL LEVIES TO BE DETERMINED ANNUALLY BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS BUT NOT TO EXCEED 4.655 MILLS (UNLESS CHANGED BY FUTURE VOTER APPROVAL) AND SHALL THE CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND THE FULL REVENUES FROM SUCH MILL LEVY AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., OR ANY OTHER LEGAL LIMITATION?

☐ YES
☐ NO

Instruction Text

A YES VOTE WOULD APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF TERM LIMITS FOR DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT. A NO VOTE WOULD LEAVE THE TERM LIMIT AS IT PRESENTLY IS.

Question 4B

SHALL THE TERMS FOR THE ELECTED BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 BE UNLIMITED, DESPITE THE TERM LIMITATION SET FORTH IN THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

☐ YES
☐ NO

Question 4A

YES

NO

55

55

0

0

55

55

Question 4B

YES

NO

45

62

0

0

45

62

STATE OF COLORADO,

ss.

We, the undersigned, Canvassers of the Election Returns of and Election held in said _____, in the State of Colorado

(City or Town of)

on _____ the _____ day of _____, A. D. 20____, for the election of _____

County of _____

do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct abstract of the votes cast at said election, as shown by the abstracts for the several voting precincts in said _____

WITNESS our hands and seals this _____ day of _____, A. D. 20____

Attest:

Clerk.

Mayor - Municipal Judge

Deputy.

By _____

NAMES OF CANDIDATES OR PROPOSITIONS

OFFICE VOTED FOR

1 2 3 4

Question 4A

SHALL CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 TAXES BE INCREASED \$12,368.00 ANNUALLY, FROM AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF 1.0 MILLS UPON TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, FOR A TOTAL MILL LEVY OF 4.655 MILLS, COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2008, WITH SUBSEQUENT YEAR MILL LEVIES TO BE DETERMINED ANNUALLY BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS BUT NOT TO EXCEED 4.655 MILLS (UNLESS CHANGED BY FUTURE VOTER APPROVAL) AND SHALL THE CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND THE FULL REVENUES FROM SUCH MILL LEVY AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., OR ANY OTHER LEGAL LIMITATION?

☐ YES

☐ NO

Instruction Text

A YES VOTE WOULD APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF TERM LIMITS FOR DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT. A NO VOTE WOULD LEAVE THE TERM LIMIT AS IT PRESENTLY IS.

Question 4B

SHALL THE TERMS FOR THE ELECTED BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CRAWFORD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 5 BE UNLIMITED, DESPITE THE TERM LIMITATION SET FORTH IN THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

☐ YES

☐ NO

Question 4A

YES

NO

Question

4B

YES

NO